

THE TURKISH RECREATIONS.

The traits of a people are often to be judged as correctly from their pleasures and recreations as from their history and serious conduct. In the freedom of the hills, pleasure-seeking hours, a people will betray whether they are imaginative or matter-of-fact, whether they are gentle or rough, whether they are sober or buoyant of spirit. It is usual to find that a people who dwell in rugged, inhospitable lands, in regions of storm and gloomy skies, prefer amusements which are hardy and active; while those who dwell in softer, sunnier climes enjoy themselves in milder recreations.

The old French chronicler, Froissart, observed, when in England, that the English "took their pleasures very easily." The English, and especially the Scotch, dwelling as they do in a capricious climate, are noted for the ruggedness and hardihood of their sports. The buoyancy and gaiety of the French character, on the other hand, are strikingly reflected in the lightness and sparkle which appear in all their favorite pastimes.

The recreations of Oriental peoples are more interesting, because less familiar to us, than those of the Western peoples, and afford quite as reliable a key to national character. A recent sojourner in Turkey has given a very entertaining account of the ways in which the subjects of the Sultan beguile their many idle hours. The Turks are an indolent people. The languor of their beautiful climate renders them prone to take the world easily, to enjoy frequent holidays, and to enjoy pleasures which soothe rather than excite.

The principal public recreations of the Turks are three. One is, to witness the baroque acting of a company of men, who do not use any stage for their performances, but improvise forth from behind a simple screen. Those players go about from place to place, erect their screen in the open air, and give their performances before the motley crowd of turbulent idlers who gather around them. Another favorite amusement is what the writer referred to as the "Turkish Punch and Judy." This is a shadow play, given by means of shadows cast upon a white sheet. The effect of this is very weird and striking. The third public recreation is the gathering in the streets, or on the open spaces, to listen to the thrilling tales of the "meddahs," or professional story-tellers. The meddahs are the pluckiest of the Turkish people. They relate the most exciting stories, with many emphatic gestures, contortions of the face and modulations of the voice. They sit in the middle of an attentive circle, and often rouse their hearers to a high pitch of breathless interest and excitement by their dramatic powers of narration.

The Turkish women are allowed to witness the baroque acting, but they are forbidden to be present at the Punch and Judy shows, and the story-telling of the meddahs. Turkish women, moreover, are not permitted to attend the theaters and opera houses.

Like all Orientals, the Turks are very fond of music and of dancing. Their airs, musical instruments and dances are entirely different from those of Western Europe. They partake very much of the nature of the dances seen in other parts of the world, but with a more monotonous and monotonous. The Turkish music, to Western ears, sounds soft, melodious and monotonous. The Turks, on the other hand, regard European music as too loud, boisterous and confused in sound. A choir, or an orchestra, in Turkey, all sing and play the air only.

The Turks like ceremony, and all their recreations are pursued in a sedate, quiet, ceremonious way. The musicians, dancers, story-tellers are ushered before and away from their audiences with flourish and obeisances, and are rewarded with much solemnity of demeanor. There are very few recreations in Turkey in which men and women are allowed to take part in common. When both sexes witness the same performance, the women always sit in a group behind a screen or thick lattice, so that they can witness what is going forward without seeing or being seen by the men. But in this case, the best point from which to view the performance is accorded to the women.—*John's Companion.*

The Secret of Longevity.

A little way beyond the ancient church at Haldersness is a brick residence, whose front is half hidden by the tops of those monster elms that are the pride of our Northern States and beneath its shade I saw yesterday a woman who is passing his ninety-fifth year sitting comfortably in a great arm-chair. My wife told me that she had recently died, aged one hundred and five. Curious to know if there was any special reason for such longevity, I made inquiries. "No," said my informant, "they were almost always out of doors and lived a quiet life." Yet in this single sentence lay a greater philosophy than had dreamed of, a sounder precept than he knew. To keep out of doors and avoid worry is a maxim that, if followed, would double a majority of our lifetimes, which I regret to say, have a greater number of occupants than such succeeding years.—*American.*

THE RONDON HOUSE.

What Mrs. Cleveland Has to Say About Her Home.

I am convinced that the popular verdict is in this case not the correct one, and if these lines have an object, that object lies in the direction of a contribution toward an effort to show that the truly sentimental man or woman has the best provision toward the practical affairs of life.

As usual, philosophy is at fault. The word sentimental has suffered as much deflection from its simple, real meaning as has the word practical. The latter has come to stand for things real, the former for things unreal; or, if more liberal minded, the strict truth of this designation, they will scarcely attempt to suggest a modification of it by which it is claimed that to follow the practical affairs of life is to follow the things which are certainly and surely the remunerative things of life, the things about which we actually know, and whose value is real because it is in things seen and proven. While to follow things sentimental is to pursue a shadow, or at least a thing which, if attained, does not respond to the demands of a busy and effective human career.

Nothing could be further from the logic of human experience. Every achievement has its beginning in the mind. The Buddhists were right. All reality is in the thought. Here is the root of the deed. It is the man of true sentiment only who has behind him and before him an effective human career.

A good mother was lamenting to me the other day that her daughter, a charming girl of sixteen, was so unsentimental. As an illustration of this quality, the mother told me the story of a young girl who had put her to all good faith and sincerity: "How does a person know when a room has been swept?" This young woman needed perception. For the production of a "room," she was sweeping a room, the same sort of practicality is required. I do not know what is to be done with her. Her mother desires

that she shall become occupied with the practical affairs of life, but she knows that the mother's idea of the practical is sharp enough to strike at the root of the matter. To become truly and effectively practical one must see straight and feel right. It is a matter of pure perception and proper sentiment. When this right action of head and heart is combined, the doing will follow and, whether a book is to be written or a room is to be swept, the thing in hand will be properly classed among the practical affairs of life.

After this it is all a matter of preoccupation. If the preoccupation be an affair, as in the case of my absent friend of pure sentiment, i. e., an affair *de coeur*, it will, as in her case, deserve classification among the practical affairs of life; her vast expenditure of "pure sentiment" was accompanied by a vast expenditure of pure perception, and prompt energy and good money to the infinite betterment of all concerned. Had her preoccupation been the same, but without the rightness of sentiment and purity of perception, this affection of hers would have resulted in a mere waste of feeling and would deserve no classification among those stretched so-called affairs *de coeur* which can never arrive at the dignity of the practical affairs of life.

The mother of the impractical young girl says, and not without a degree of satisfaction, that her daughter (who can not understand how a person can know when a room has been swept) is "all for books." Very well. That is her preoccupation. Now, if to this preoccupation she brings right sentiment and pure perception, her preoccupation with books will result practically. If she has those she will know the difference between clean and unclean in the carpet of a room or the character of a book. But I greatly fear that healthy sentiment and pure perception are not at present backed by any sense of personal responsibility in this young girl's mind; and if so, she has a great deal to learn before the things which concern her can be said to belong to the practical affairs of life.

The root of all this which grows into a busy and effective human career lies in the sense of duty which develops perception and sentiment into action, which determines preoccupation, and which brings out of all a performance which can be truly classed as among the practical affairs of life. Let this be the possession of the young girl and she will become a practical woman; let it be the possession of the man of affairs and he will be a practical statesman; let it be the possession of a literary man, and he will become a practical author.—*Eme Elizabeth Cleveland, in Boston Journal.*

WHEN LOVE GOES WILD.

What to Do With Unpleasant Tokens of Affection.

It is surprising how practical an untheoretical lover may become under changed circumstances. For instance, a correspondent writes to know if a lady should return presents of jewelry after an engagement to be married is broken. If she is not impelled by sentiment to return the gifts, she desires to find out whether they can be recovered by process of law. He says that the lady and gentleman in question have since married other persons, and he implies that he does not like to see another man's wife wearing his jewelry. As to the point of etiquette involved, a young man who has had a great deal of experience in such matters says that gifts of jewelry should always be returned, as this is the prevailing custom in polite society and as a rule of conduct based on natural good taste and delicacy. He added, with a smile, "It is also a good custom from an economic point of view, for it has enabled me to use one set of jewelry on three separate occasions, and if my practical scheme is not detected I have no doubt the same jewelry will finally become the property of the lady that I lead to the altar, provided I can get one who will stick long enough to such an unsentimental fellow." A young lady, when questioned on the subject, said she did not think girls ought to accept gifts of jewelry from the men they are going to marry, making exceptions of course, as to the wedding-ring and wedding presents. She thought that when gifts of jewelry are accepted, however, they should always be returned if the engagement is broken. But she knew of some nice girls who, not having a large supply of jewelry, had kept presents after the engagement was at an end. She had heard of a few cases in which the circumstances were such as to make the keeping of the gifts a pleasure to both persons. A well-known lawyer said of the legal aspect of the question that he could not recollect having heard of such a case in the courts. Gifts are absolute transfers of personal property, and unless they are conditional he did not see how they could be recovered by law. If given conditionally a suit for breach of contract might be entered. So far as he could see, the return of the gifts rested entirely with the lady. Another lawyer, who is a bachelor, evaded an opinion on the question by making the broad assertion that all young men who are thinking of getting married ought to follow the laconic advice of Punch, which was—"Don't."

THE RONDON HOUSE.

What Mrs. Cleveland Has to Say About Her Home.

I am convinced that the popular verdict is in this case not the correct one, and if these lines have an object, that object lies in the direction of a contribution toward an effort to show that the truly sentimental man or woman has the best provision toward the practical affairs of life.

As usual, philosophy is at fault. The word sentimental has suffered as much deflection from its simple, real meaning as has the word practical. The latter has come to stand for things real, the former for things unreal; or, if more liberal minded, the strict truth of this designation, they will scarcely attempt to suggest a modification of it by which it is claimed that to follow the practical affairs of life is to follow the things which are certainly and surely the remunerative things of life, the things about which we actually know, and whose value is real because it is in things seen and proven. While to follow things sentimental is to pursue a shadow, or at least a thing which, if attained, does not respond to the demands of a busy and effective human career.

Nothing could be further from the logic of human experience. Every achievement has its beginning in the mind. The Buddhists were right. All reality is in the thought. Here is the root of the deed. It is the man of true sentiment only who has behind him and before him an effective human career.

A good mother was lamenting to me the other day that her daughter, a charming girl of sixteen, was so unsentimental. As an illustration of this quality, the mother told me the story of a young girl who had put her to all good faith and sincerity: "How does a person know when a room has been swept?" This young woman needed perception. For the production of a "room," she was sweeping a room, the same sort of practicality is required. I do not know what is to be done with her. Her mother desires

that she shall become occupied with the practical affairs of life, but she knows that the mother's idea of the practical is sharp enough to strike at the root of the matter. To become truly and effectively practical one must see straight and feel right. It is a matter of pure perception and proper sentiment. When this right action of head and heart is combined, the doing will follow and, whether a book is to be written or a room is to be swept, the thing in hand will be properly classed among the practical affairs of life.

After this it is all a matter of preoccupation. If the preoccupation be an affair, as in the case of my absent friend of pure sentiment, i. e., an affair *de coeur*, it will, as in her case, deserve classification among the practical affairs of life; her vast expenditure of "pure sentiment" was accompanied by a vast expenditure of pure perception, and prompt energy and good money to the infinite betterment of all concerned. Had her preoccupation been the same, but without the rightness of sentiment and purity of perception, this affection of hers would have resulted in a mere waste of feeling and would deserve no classification among those stretched so-called affairs *de coeur* which can never arrive at the dignity of the practical affairs of life.

The mother of the impractical young girl says, and not without a degree of satisfaction, that her daughter (who can not understand how a person can know when a room has been swept) is "all for books." Very well. That is her preoccupation. Now, if to this preoccupation she brings right sentiment and pure perception, her preoccupation with books will result practically. If she has those she will know the difference between clean and unclean in the carpet of a room or the character of a book. But I greatly fear that healthy sentiment and pure perception are not at present backed by any sense of personal responsibility in this young girl's mind; and if so, she has a great deal to learn before the things which concern her can be said to belong to the practical affairs of life.

The root of all this which grows into a busy and effective human career lies in the sense of duty which develops perception and sentiment into action, which determines preoccupation, and which brings out of all a performance which can be truly classed as among the practical affairs of life. Let this be the possession of the young girl and she will become a practical woman; let it be the possession of the man of affairs and he will be a practical statesman; let it be the possession of a literary man, and he will become a practical author.—*Eme Elizabeth Cleveland, in Boston Journal.*

WHEN LOVE GOES WILD.

What to Do With Unpleasant Tokens of Affection.

It is surprising how practical an untheoretical lover may become under changed circumstances. For instance, a correspondent writes to know if a lady should return presents of jewelry after an engagement to be married is broken. If she is not impelled by sentiment to return the gifts, she desires to find out whether they can be recovered by process of law. He says that the lady and gentleman in question have since married other persons, and he implies that he does not like to see another man's wife wearing his jewelry. As to the point of etiquette involved, a young man who has had a great deal of experience in such matters says that gifts of jewelry should always be returned, as this is the prevailing custom in polite society and as a rule of conduct based on natural good taste and delicacy. He added, with a smile, "It is also a good custom from an economic point of view, for it has enabled me to use one set of jewelry on three separate occasions, and if my practical scheme is not detected I have no doubt the same jewelry will finally become the property of the lady that I lead to the altar, provided I can get one who will stick long enough to such an unsentimental fellow." A young lady, when questioned on the subject, said she did not think girls ought to accept gifts of jewelry from the men they are going to marry, making exceptions of course, as to the wedding-ring and wedding presents. She thought that when gifts of jewelry are accepted, however, they should always be returned if the engagement is broken. But she knew of some nice girls who, not having a large supply of jewelry, had kept presents after the engagement was at an end. She had heard of a few cases in which the circumstances were such as to make the keeping of the gifts a pleasure to both persons. A well-known lawyer said of the legal aspect of the question that he could not recollect having heard of such a case in the courts. Gifts are absolute transfers of personal property, and unless they are conditional he did not see how they could be recovered by law. If given conditionally a suit for breach of contract might be entered. So far as he could see, the return of the gifts rested entirely with the lady. Another lawyer, who is a bachelor, evaded an opinion on the question by making the broad assertion that all young men who are thinking of getting married ought to follow the laconic advice of Punch, which was—"Don't."

THE RONDON HOUSE.

What Mrs. Cleveland Has to Say About Her Home.

I am convinced that the popular verdict is in this case not the correct one, and if these lines have an object, that object lies in the direction of a contribution toward an effort to show that the truly sentimental man or woman has the best provision toward the practical affairs of life.

As usual, philosophy is at fault. The word sentimental has suffered as much deflection from its simple, real meaning as has the word practical. The latter has come to stand for things real, the former for things unreal; or, if more liberal minded, the strict truth of this designation, they will scarcely attempt to suggest a modification of it by which it is claimed that to follow the practical affairs of life is to follow the things which are certainly and surely the remunerative things of life, the things about which we actually know, and whose value is real because it is in things seen and proven. While to follow things sentimental is to pursue a shadow, or at least a thing which, if attained, does not respond to the demands of a busy and effective human career.

Nothing could be further from the logic of human experience. Every achievement has its beginning in the mind. The Buddhists were right. All reality is in the thought. Here is the root of the deed. It is the man of true sentiment only who has behind him and before him an effective human career.

A good mother was lamenting to me the other day that her daughter, a charming girl of sixteen, was so unsentimental. As an illustration of this quality, the mother told me the story of a young girl who had put her to all good faith and sincerity: "How does a person know when a room has been swept?" This young woman needed perception. For the production of a "room," she was sweeping a room, the same sort of practicality is required. I do not know what is to be done with her. Her mother desires

that she shall become occupied with the practical affairs of life, but she knows that the mother's idea of the practical is sharp enough to strike at the root of the matter. To become truly and effectively practical one must see straight and feel right. It is a matter of pure perception and proper sentiment. When this right action of head and heart is combined, the doing will follow and, whether a book is to be written or a room is to be swept, the thing in hand will be properly classed among the practical affairs of life.

After this it is all a matter of preoccupation. If the preoccupation be an affair, as in the case of my absent friend of pure sentiment, i. e., an affair *de coeur*, it will, as in her case, deserve classification among the practical affairs of life; her vast expenditure of "pure sentiment" was accompanied by a vast expenditure of pure perception, and prompt energy and good money to the infinite betterment of all concerned. Had her preoccupation been the same, but without the rightness of sentiment and purity of perception, this affection of hers would have resulted in a mere waste of feeling and would deserve no classification among those stretched so-called affairs *de coeur* which can never arrive at the dignity of the practical affairs of life.

The mother of the impractical young girl says, and not without a degree of satisfaction, that her daughter (who can not understand how a person can know when a room has been swept) is "all for books." Very well. That is her preoccupation. Now, if to this preoccupation she brings right sentiment and pure perception, her preoccupation with books will result practically. If she has those she will know the difference between clean and unclean in the carpet of a room or the character of a book. But I greatly fear that healthy sentiment and pure perception are not at present backed by any sense of personal responsibility in this young girl's mind; and if so, she has a great deal to learn before the things which concern her can be said to belong to the practical affairs of life.

The root of all this which grows into a busy and effective human career lies in the sense of duty which develops perception and sentiment into action, which determines preoccupation, and which brings out of all a performance which can be truly classed as among the practical affairs of life. Let this be the possession of the young girl and she will become a practical woman; let it be the possession of the man of affairs and he will be a practical statesman; let it be the possession of a literary man, and he will become a practical author.—*Eme Elizabeth Cleveland, in Boston Journal.*

WHEN LOVE GOES WILD.

What to Do With Unpleasant Tokens of Affection.

It is surprising how practical an untheoretical lover may become under changed circumstances. For instance, a correspondent writes to know if a lady should return presents of jewelry after an engagement to be married is broken. If she is not impelled by sentiment to return the gifts, she desires to find out whether they can be recovered by process of law. He says that the lady and gentleman in question have since married other persons, and he implies that he does not like to see another man's wife wearing his jewelry. As to the point of etiquette involved, a young man who has had a great deal of experience in such matters says that gifts of jewelry should always be returned, as this is the prevailing custom in polite society and as a rule of conduct based on natural good taste and delicacy. He added, with a smile, "It is also a good custom from an economic point of view, for it has enabled me to use one set of jewelry on three separate occasions, and if my practical scheme is not detected I have no doubt the same jewelry will finally become the property of the lady that I lead to the altar, provided I can get one who will stick long enough to such an unsentimental fellow." A young lady, when questioned on the subject, said she did not think girls ought to accept gifts of jewelry from the men they are going to marry, making exceptions of course, as to the wedding-ring and wedding presents. She thought that when gifts of jewelry are accepted, however, they should always be returned if the engagement is broken. But she knew of some nice girls who, not having a large supply of jewelry, had kept presents after the engagement was at an end. She had heard of a few cases in which the circumstances were such as to make the keeping of the gifts a pleasure to both persons. A well-known lawyer said of the legal aspect of the question that he could not recollect having heard of such a case in the courts. Gifts are absolute transfers of personal property, and unless they are conditional he did not see how they could be recovered by law. If given conditionally a suit for breach of contract might be entered. So far as he could see, the return of the gifts rested entirely with the lady. Another lawyer, who is a bachelor, evaded an opinion on the question by making the broad assertion that all young men who are thinking of getting married ought to follow the laconic advice of Punch, which was—"Don't."

THE RONDON HOUSE.

What Mrs. Cleveland Has to Say About Her Home.

I am convinced that the popular verdict is in this case not the correct one, and if these lines have an object, that object lies in the direction of a contribution toward an effort to show that the truly sentimental man or woman has the best provision toward the practical affairs of life.

As usual, philosophy is at fault. The word sentimental has suffered as much deflection from its simple, real meaning as has the word practical. The latter has come to stand for things real, the former for things unreal; or, if more liberal minded, the strict truth of this designation, they will scarcely attempt to suggest a modification of it by which it is claimed that to follow the practical affairs of life is to follow the things which are certainly and surely the remunerative things of life, the things about which we actually know, and whose value is real because it is in things seen and proven. While to follow things sentimental is to pursue a shadow, or at least a thing which, if attained, does not respond to the demands of a busy and effective human career.

Nothing could be further from the logic of human experience. Every achievement has its beginning in the mind. The Buddhists were right. All reality is in the thought. Here is the root of the deed. It is the man of true sentiment only who has behind him and before him an effective human career.

A good mother was lamenting to me the other day that her daughter, a charming girl of sixteen, was so unsentimental. As an illustration of this quality, the mother told me the story of a young girl who had put her to all good faith and sincerity: "How does a person know when a room has been swept?" This young woman needed perception. For the production of a "room," she was sweeping a room, the same sort of practicality is required. I do not know what is to be done with her. Her mother desires

that she shall become occupied with the practical affairs of life, but she knows that the mother's idea of the practical is sharp enough to strike at the root of the matter. To become truly and effectively practical one must see straight and feel right. It is a matter of pure perception and proper sentiment. When this right action of head and heart is combined, the doing will follow and, whether a book is to be written or a room is to be swept, the thing in hand will be properly classed among the practical affairs of life.

After this it is all a matter of preoccupation. If the preoccupation be an affair, as in the case of my absent friend of pure sentiment, i. e., an affair *de coeur*, it will, as in her case, deserve classification among the practical affairs of life; her vast expenditure of "pure sentiment" was accompanied by a vast expenditure of pure perception, and prompt energy and good money to the infinite betterment of all concerned. Had her preoccupation been the same, but without the rightness of sentiment and purity of perception, this affection of hers would have resulted in a mere waste of feeling and would deserve no classification among those stretched so-called affairs *de coeur* which can never arrive at the dignity of the practical affairs of life.

The mother of the impractical young girl says, and not without a degree of satisfaction, that her daughter (who can not understand how a person can know when a room has been swept) is "all for books." Very well. That is her preoccupation. Now, if to this preoccupation she brings right sentiment and pure perception, her preoccupation with books will result practically. If she has those she will know the difference between clean and unclean in the carpet of a room or the character of a book. But I greatly fear that healthy sentiment and pure perception are not at present backed by any sense of personal responsibility in this young girl's mind; and if so, she has a great deal to learn before the things which concern her can be said to belong to the practical affairs of life.

The root of all this which grows into a busy and effective human career lies in the sense of duty which develops perception and sentiment into action, which determines preoccupation, and which brings out of all a performance which can be truly classed as among the practical affairs of life. Let this be the possession of the young girl and she will become a practical woman; let it be the possession of the man of affairs and he will be a practical statesman; let it be the possession of a literary man, and he will become a practical author.—*Eme Elizabeth Cleveland, in Boston Journal.*

WHEN LOVE GOES WILD.

What to Do With Unpleasant Tokens of Affection.

It is surprising how practical an untheoretical lover may become under changed circumstances. For instance, a correspondent writes to know if a lady should return presents of jewelry after an engagement to be married is broken. If she is not impelled by sentiment to return the gifts, she desires to find out whether they can be recovered by process of law. He says that the lady and gentleman in question have since married other persons, and he implies that he does not like to see another man's wife wearing his jewelry. As to the point of etiquette involved, a young man who has had a great deal of experience in such matters says that gifts of jewelry should always be returned, as this is the prevailing custom in polite society and as a rule of conduct based on natural good taste and delicacy. He added, with a smile, "It is also a good custom from an economic point of view, for it has enabled me to use one set of jewelry on three separate occasions, and if my practical scheme is not detected I have no doubt the same jewelry will finally become the property of the lady that I lead to the altar, provided I can get one who will stick long enough to such an unsentimental fellow." A young lady, when questioned on the subject, said she did not think girls ought to accept gifts of jewelry from the men they are going to marry, making exceptions of course, as to the wedding-ring and wedding presents. She thought that when gifts of jewelry are accepted, however, they should always be returned if the engagement is broken. But she knew of some nice girls who, not having a large supply of jewelry, had kept presents after the engagement was at an end. She had heard of a few cases in which the circumstances were such as to make the keeping of the gifts a pleasure to both persons. A well-known lawyer said of the legal aspect of the question that he could not recollect having heard of such a case in the courts. Gifts are absolute transfers of personal property, and unless they are conditional he did not see how they could be recovered by law. If given conditionally a suit for breach of contract might be entered. So far as he could see, the return of the gifts rested entirely with the lady. Another lawyer, who is a bachelor, evaded an opinion on the question by making the broad assertion that all young men who are thinking of getting married ought to follow the laconic advice of Punch, which was—"Don't."

THE RONDON HOUSE.

What Mrs. Cleveland Has to Say About Her Home.

I am convinced that the popular verdict is in this case not the correct one, and if these lines have an object, that object lies in the direction of a contribution toward an effort to show that the truly sentimental man or woman has the best provision toward the practical affairs of life.

As usual, philosophy is at fault. The word sentimental has suffered as much deflection from its simple, real meaning as has the word practical. The latter has come to stand for things real, the former for things unreal; or, if more liberal minded, the strict truth of this designation, they will scarcely attempt to suggest a modification of it by which it is claimed that to follow the practical affairs of life is to follow the things which are certainly and surely the remunerative things of life, the things about which we actually know, and whose value is real because it is in things seen and proven. While to follow things sentimental is to pursue a shadow, or at least a thing which, if attained, does not respond to the demands of a busy and effective human career.

Nothing could be further from the logic of human experience. Every achievement has its beginning in the mind. The Buddhists were right. All reality is in the thought. Here is the root of the deed. It is the man of true sentiment only who has behind him and before him an effective human career.

A good mother was lamenting to me the other day that her daughter, a charming girl of sixteen, was so unsentimental. As an illustration of this quality, the mother told me the story of a young girl who had put her to all good faith and sincerity: "How does a person know when a room has been swept?" This young woman needed perception. For the production of a "room," she was sweeping a room, the same sort of practicality is required. I do not know what is to be done with her. Her mother desires

that she shall become occupied with the practical affairs of life, but she knows that the mother's idea of the practical is sharp enough to strike at the root of the matter. To become truly and effectively practical one must see straight and feel right. It is a matter of pure perception and proper sentiment. When this right action of head and heart is combined, the doing will follow and, whether a book is to be written or a room is to be swept, the thing in hand will be properly classed among the practical affairs of life.

After this it is all a matter of preoccupation. If the preoccupation be an affair, as in the case of my absent friend of pure sentiment, i. e., an affair *de coeur*, it will, as in her case, deserve classification among the practical affairs of life; her vast expenditure of "pure sentiment" was accompanied by a vast expenditure of pure perception, and prompt energy and good money to the infinite betterment of all concerned. Had her preoccupation been the same, but without the rightness of sentiment and purity of perception, this affection of hers would have resulted in a mere waste of feeling and would deserve no classification among those stretched so-called affairs *de coeur* which can never arrive at the dignity of the practical affairs of life.

The mother of the impractical young girl says, and not without a degree of satisfaction, that her daughter (who can not understand how a person can know when a room has been swept) is "all for books." Very well. That is her preoccupation. Now, if to this preoccupation she brings right sentiment and pure perception, her preoccupation with books will result practically. If she has those she will know the difference between clean and unclean in the carpet of a room or the character of a book. But I greatly fear that healthy sentiment and pure perception are not at present backed by any sense of personal responsibility in this young girl's mind; and if so, she has a great deal to learn before the things which concern her can be said to belong to the practical affairs of life.

The root of all this which grows into a busy and effective human career lies in the sense of duty which develops perception and sentiment into action, which determines preoccupation, and which brings out of all a performance which can be truly classed as among the practical affairs of life. Let this be the possession of the young girl and she will become a practical woman; let it be the possession of the man of affairs and he will be a practical statesman; let it be the possession of a literary man, and he will become a practical author.—*Eme Elizabeth Cleveland, in Boston Journal.*

WHEN LOVE GOES WILD.

What to Do With Unpleasant Tokens of Affection.

It is surprising how practical an untheoretical lover may become under changed circumstances. For instance, a correspondent writes to know if a lady should return presents of jewelry after an engagement to be married is broken. If she is not impelled by sentiment to return the gifts, she desires to find out whether they can be recovered by process of law. He says that the lady and gentleman in question have since married other persons, and he implies that he does not like to see another man's wife wearing his jewelry. As to the point of etiquette involved, a young man who has had a great deal of experience in such matters says that gifts of jewelry should always be returned, as this is the prevailing custom in polite society and as a rule of conduct based on natural good taste and delicacy. He added, with a smile, "It is also a good custom from an economic point of view, for it has enabled me to use one set of jewelry on three separate occasions, and if my practical scheme is not detected I have no doubt the same jewelry will finally become the property of the lady that I lead to the altar, provided I can get one who will stick long enough to such an unsentimental fellow." A young lady, when questioned on the subject, said she did not think girls ought to accept gifts of jewelry from the men they are going to marry, making exceptions of course, as to the wedding-ring and wedding presents. She thought that when gifts of jewelry are accepted, however, they should always be returned if the engagement is broken. But she knew of some nice girls who, not having a large supply of jewelry, had kept presents after the engagement was at an end. She had heard of a few cases in which the circumstances were such as to make the keeping of the gifts a pleasure to both persons. A well-known lawyer said of the legal aspect of the question that he could not recollect having heard of such a case in the courts. Gifts are absolute transfers of personal property, and unless they are conditional he did not see how they could be recovered by law. If given conditionally a suit for breach of contract might be entered. So far as he could see, the return of the gifts rested entirely with the lady. Another lawyer, who is a bachelor, evaded an opinion on the question by making the broad assertion that all young men who are thinking of getting married ought to follow the laconic advice of Punch, which was—"Don't."

THE RONDON HOUSE.

What Mrs. Cleveland Has to Say About Her Home.

I am convinced that the popular verdict is in this case not the correct one, and if these lines have an object, that object lies in the direction of a contribution toward an effort to show that the truly sentimental man or woman has the best provision toward the practical affairs of life.

As usual, philosophy is at fault. The word sentimental has suffered as much deflection from its simple, real meaning as has the word practical. The latter has come to stand for things real, the former for things unreal; or, if more liberal minded, the strict truth of this designation, they will scarcely attempt to suggest a modification of it by which it is claimed that to follow the practical affairs of life is to follow the things which are certainly and surely the remunerative things of life, the things about which we actually know, and whose value is real because it is in things seen and proven. While to follow things sentimental is to pursue a shadow, or at least a thing which, if attained, does not respond to the demands of a busy and effective human career.

Nothing could be further from the logic of human experience. Every achievement has its beginning in the mind. The Buddhists were right. All reality is in the thought. Here is the root of the deed. It is the man of true sentiment only who has behind him and before him an effective human career.

A good mother was lamenting to me the other day that her daughter, a charming girl of sixteen, was so unsentimental. As an illustration of this quality, the mother told me the story of a young girl who had put her to all good faith and sincerity: "How does a person know when a room has been swept?" This young woman needed perception. For the production of a "room," she was sweeping a room, the same sort of practicality is required. I do not know what is to be done with her. Her mother desires

TO-MORROW

And continuing all this week provided they last long enough.

MERCHANT TAILOR.

HARGREAVES,

GENTS' FURNISHER.

ABILENE, KANSAS.

CITIZEN'S BANK

M. L. TREDWELL, President.
L. S. SCOTT, Vice-President.
W. R. GRIM, Cashier.

Transacts a general banking business. Loans money on approved security. Exchange at current rates.

GARVER & BOND'S BUILDING
Santa Fe Avenue.

J. W. JENNEY,
Homeopathic Physician
AND SURGEON.

Office in Pauline Block, Iron Avenue, Dallas, Texas. Special attention given to diseases of women and children.

MONEY to LOAN

PERSONAL, CHATTEL and REAL ESTATE SECURITIES.

In the most favorable terms. Also all kinds of good Commercial Paper bought. Parties wishing to borrow will consult their interests by calling on me.

M. J. Wellslager.

Office in Masonic Temple, S. Santa Fe Ave.

PECK BROS., MCHENRY & CO.

Real Estate & INSURANCE

If you wish to sell your farm or city property, place it in our hands if it can be sold we can sell it for you. We also do a general Insurance business. Only the best companies represented. Office, Room 5, over First National Bank.

H. A. CYPRIAN, Dallas, Kansas.
W. A. PARKER, Abilene, Kansas.

Parker & Cunningham,
DALLAS, TEXAS.

Poland-China Swine

A hog registered or eligible to registry in the Ohio Poland-China breed, and one bred from the chosen strain of Poland-China blood. Pedigree furnished. For more details see card in hand is to be sent to W. A. Parker, four miles east of Dallas, Kansas. Call and see us.

PARKER & CUNNINGHAM
Braniff Bros. & Ravins,

GENERAL INSURANCE

REAL ESTATE OVERCOAT

AGENTS

For we will sell you either AT COST. While you remember all this, don't forget that we sell

Boots and Shoes, Hats and Caps, Groceries and Queensware.

Cheaper than anybody.

John A. Nelson